

## Integral Formulas and Inequalities for Rational Functions

Xin Li\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida 32816*

ORE

ed by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

Received January 17, 1996

Some Bernstein type inequalities using the integral norm are established for rational functions. A new proof of a Bernstein type inequality of Spijker is given as an application. © 1997 Academic Press

### 1. INTRODUCTION

One form of the classical Bernstein inequality for polynomials can be stated as

$$|P'(z)| \leq n\|P\|, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , the set of algebraic polynomials of degree at most  $n$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the sup norm on the unit circle  $\mathbf{T} := \{z : |z| = 1\}$ . Although the generalization of the Bernstein inequality to rational functions has been obtained and used in the study of rational approximation [PP], only recently have the sharp forms of such type inequalities been established independently in [BE, LMR]. Among the various forms, we mention the following two results: Let  $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{T}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be given and let  $w_n(z) := \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z)$ ,

$$B_-(z) := \prod_{|\alpha_k| < 1} \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z}, \quad B_+(z) := \prod_{|\alpha_k| > 1} \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z},$$

\*Research supported, in part, by the University of Central Florida In-house Grant 94-0711.  
E-mail address: xli@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu.

and  $B_n(z) := B_-(z)B_+(z)$  (as usual,  $\Pi_\emptyset := 1$ ). Define

$$\mathcal{R}_n := \left\{ \frac{p(z)}{w_n(x)} : p \in \mathcal{P}_n \right\}. \quad (2)$$

**THEOREM 1** [BE, Theorem 1]. *For  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  and  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ , we have*

$$|r'(z)| \leq \max \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha_k| < 1} \frac{1 - |\alpha_k|^2}{|z - \alpha_k|^2}, \sum_{|\alpha_k| > 1} \frac{|\alpha_k|^2 - 1}{|z - \alpha_k|^2} \right\} \|r\|.$$

*If the first sum is not less than the second sum for a fixed  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ , then the equality holds for  $r(z) = cB_-(z)$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ ; otherwise, the equality holds for  $r(z) = cB_+(z)$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ .*

**THEOREM 2** [LMR, Theorem 2]. *Assume  $|\alpha_k| < 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, for  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  and  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ ,*

$$|r'(z)| + |r^*(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - |\alpha_k|^2}{|z - \alpha_k|^2} \|r\|,$$

*where  $r^*(z) = B_n(z)\overline{r(1/\bar{z})}$ . The equality holds for  $r(z) = tB_n(z)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ .*

It is easy to see that either Theorem 1 or Theorem 2 implies that, if  $|\alpha_k| < 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then, for  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  and  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$|r'(z)| \leq |B'_n(z)| \|r\|,$$

which is a natural generalization of the Bernstein inequality for polynomials to rational functions: when  $\alpha_k = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have  $B_n(z) = z^n$  and so the above inequality reduces to (1). The proofs in [BE, LMR] are both related to some interpolation formulas though they appear to be totally different. In this note, we use a new approach to establish a version of the Bernstein type inequality using the integral norm. As an application, we will give an alternative proof of the following inequality proved by Spijker [S] in 1991: If  $r(z) = p(z)/q(z)$ , where  $p(z)$ ,  $q(z) \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with  $q(z) \neq 0$  on  $\mathbf{T}$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |r'(z)| |dz| \leq 2\pi n \|r\|. \quad (3)$$

This inequality was first conjectured by LeVeque and Trefethen [LT] in 1984. For the application in numerical analysis, generalization, and related historical remarks concerning this result, see [WT].

## 2. THE INTEGRAL FORMULAS

Let  $|\alpha_k| < 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $|\alpha_k| > 1$  for  $k = m + 1, \dots, n$ . Then the two Blaschke products  $B_-$  and  $B_+$  become

$$B_-(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z} \quad \text{and} \quad B_+(z) = \prod_{k=m+1}^n \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z}.$$

One of our main results is the following integral formula for the derivative of a rational function with poles off the unit circle  $\mathbf{T}$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  and  $|z| \leq 1$  then

$$r'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} r(\zeta) \bar{\zeta} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 - B_-(z) \overline{B_-(\zeta)}}{1 - z \bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{1 - B_+(z) \overline{B_+(\zeta)}}{1 - z \bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 \right\} |d\zeta|.$$

*Proof.* First, let us assume that the  $\alpha_k$ 's are nonzero and distinct. Define  $w_-(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z)$  and  $w_+(z) = \prod_{k=m+1}^n (1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z)$ . Then, every  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  has only simple poles and can be decomposed into

$$r(z) = \frac{p_1(z)}{w_-(z)} + \frac{p_2(z)}{w_+(z)} =: r_1(z) + r_2(z)$$

with  $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}_m$  and  $p_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{n-m-1}$ .

It is easy to verify that

$$r_1(z) = r_1(\infty) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_1(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)}{w'_-(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)} \frac{-\bar{\alpha}_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z}.$$

So,

$$r'_1(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_1(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)}{w'_-(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)} \frac{-\bar{\alpha}_k^2}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z)^2}.$$

Now, applying the residue theorem (for the outside of  $\mathbf{T}$ ) and then using the above equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r_1(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_-(z) \overline{B_-(\zeta)}}{1 - z \bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 d\zeta \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_1(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)}{w'_-(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)} \frac{-\bar{\alpha}_k^2}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z)^2} = r'_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $r_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r_2(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_+(z)/B_+(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 d\zeta \\ &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{p_2(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)}{w'_+(1/\bar{\alpha}_k)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_k^2}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z)^2} = -r'_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} r'(z) &= r'_1(z) + r'_2(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r_1(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_-(z)/B_-(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 d\zeta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r_2(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_+(z)/B_+(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r_2(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_-(z)/B_-(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 d\zeta = 0 \quad (5)$$

since the integrand is analytic on and outside  $\mathbf{T}$  and is of order  $O(\zeta^{-2})$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r_1(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_+(z)/B_+(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 d\zeta = 0 \quad (6)$$

because the integrand is analytic on and inside  $\mathbf{T}$ . So, the two integrals in (4) can be combined into one to yield

$$\begin{aligned} r'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{r(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 - B_-(z)/B_-(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[ \frac{1 - B_+(z)/B_+(\zeta)}{1 - z/\zeta} \right]^2 \right\} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the integral formula in the lemma when all  $\alpha_k$  are nonzero and distinct. The general case can be obtained from this case by a limit procedure as both sides of the formula are continuous functions of  $\alpha_k$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{T}$ . ■

*Remark.* The integral formula is a generalization of Lemma 4.3 in [JLMR] which corresponds to the case when  $m = n$ .

LEMMA 4. For  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left\{ \left| \frac{1 - B_-(z) \overline{B_-(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{1 - B_+(z) \overline{B_+(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 \right\} |d\zeta| = |B'_\#(z)|,$$

where  $B_\#(z) := B_-(z)/B_+(z)$ .

*Proof.* For  $w \in \mathbf{T}$ , define

$$r_w(z) = w \overline{B_-(w)} B_-(z) - w \overline{B_+(w)} B_+(z).$$

Then  $r_w \in \mathcal{R}_n$ . So, using Lemma 3 together with relations (5) and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} r'_w(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{w \overline{B_-(w)} B_-(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_-(z) \overline{B_-(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 d\zeta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{w \overline{B_+(w)} B_+(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left[ \frac{1 - B_+(z) \overline{B_+(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that  $(1 - u)^2 = -u|1 - u|^2$  for  $|u| = 1$ , so, for  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$\left[ \frac{1 - B_\pm(z) \overline{B_\pm(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 = \frac{B_\pm(z) \overline{B_\pm(\zeta)}}{z\bar{\zeta}} \left| \frac{1 - B_\pm(z) \overline{B_\pm(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2.$$

Using this in (7) and letting  $w = z$  give us

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{B'_-(z)}{B_-(z)} - \frac{B'_+(z)}{B_+(z)} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left\{ \left| \frac{1 - B_-(z) \overline{B_-(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{1 - B_+(z) \overline{B_+(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 \right\} |d\zeta|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the formula in the lemma. ■

It is straightforward to check that, for  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$\frac{z B'_\#(z)}{B_\#(z)} = \sum_{|\alpha_j| < 1} \frac{1 - |\alpha_j|^2}{|z - \alpha_j|^2} + \sum_{|\alpha_j| > 1} \frac{|\alpha_j|^2 - 1}{|z - \alpha_j|^2}.$$

Using this relation and the fact that, for  $z \in \mathbf{T}$  and  $\zeta \notin \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|dz|}{|z - \alpha|^2} = \frac{1}{|1 - |\alpha|^2|},$$

we can obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $B_{\#}(z)$  be defined as in Lemma 4. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |B'_{\#}(z)| |dz| = 2\pi n.$$

### 3. A BERNSTEIN TYPE INEQUALITY USING INTEGRAL NORM

THEOREM 6. *If  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |r'(z)| |dz| \leq \int_{\mathbf{T}} |r(z) B'_{\#}(z)| |dz|.$$

This theorem is a special case of the following result.

THEOREM 7. *Let  $\chi(u)$  be non-decreasing, non-negative, and convex for  $u \geq 0$ . If  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi \left( \frac{|r'(z)|}{|B'_{\#}(z)|} \right) |B'_{\#}(z)| |dz| \leq \int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi(|r(z)|) |B'_{\#}(z)| |dz|. \quad (8)$$

Letting  $\alpha_j = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  in Theorem 7 will give us the following Bernstein inequality for polynomials as proved by Zygmund [Z, Chap. X, Theorem 3.16].

COROLLARY 8. *Let  $\chi(u)$  be non-decreasing, non-negative, and convex for  $u \geq 0$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi(n^{-1}|p'(z)|) |dz| \leq \int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi(|p(z)|) |dz|.$$

*Remark.* Equality holds in (8) if  $r$  is a constant times  $B_{\#}$ ; this could happen if and only if either all  $\alpha_j$  lie inside  $\mathbf{T}$  or all  $\alpha_j$  lie outside  $\mathbf{T}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 7.* Let  $\zeta := e^{i\theta}$  and

$$\rho(\theta; z) := \frac{1}{2\pi|B'_{\#}(z)|} \left\{ \left| \frac{1 - B_{-}(z)\overline{B_{-}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{1 - B_{+}(z)\overline{B_{+}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 \right\}.$$

Then  $\rho(\theta; z)d\theta$ , with  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ , is a probability measure on  $[0, 2\pi]$  by Lemma 4. From Lemmas 3 and 4, we have, for  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  and  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$|r'(z)| \leq |B'_{\#}(z)| \int_0^{2\pi} |r(e^{i\theta})| \rho(\theta; z) d\theta.$$

Dividing both sides by  $|B'_{\#}(z)|$  and applying Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\chi \left( \frac{|r'(z)|}{|B'_{\#}(z)|} \right) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(|r(e^{i\theta})|) \rho(\theta; z) d\theta.$$

On multiplying both sides by  $|B'_{\#}(z)|$  and then integrating with respect to  $z$  on  $\mathbf{T}$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi \left( \frac{|r'(z)|}{|B'_{\#}(z)|} \right) |B'_{\#}(z)| |dz| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(|r(e^{i\theta})|) \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}} |B'_{\#}(z)| \rho(\theta; z) |dz| \right\} d\theta.$$

Notice that the integral in the curly brackets equals  $|B'_{\#}(e^{i\theta})|$ . Now, inequality (8) follows. ■

#### 4. A NEW PROOF OF THE INEQUALITY OF SPIJKER

We restate the inequality (3) here. Spijker's proof concerned mainly the inequality itself; as for the equality, it mentioned  $r(z) = z^n$ . Our proof will make it easy to see when the equality holds.

**THEOREM 9** [S, Lemma 4]. *If  $r$  is a rational function of degree at most  $n$  with poles off the unit circle, then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |r'(z)| |dz| \leq 2\pi n \|r\|. \quad (9)$$

*Furthermore, the equality holds when and only when  $r(z)$  is a constant times a Blaschke product of exact degree  $n$  and its poles are either all inside  $\mathbf{T}$  or all outside  $\mathbf{T}$ .*

*Proof.* The rational function  $r(z)$  of degree at most  $n$  must belong to some  $\mathcal{R}_n$  for some  $\alpha_j$ 's. Let  $B_{\#}(z)$  be associated with  $\alpha_j$ 's and  $\mathcal{R}_n$  as in Lemma 4.

Now, the inequality follows from the facts that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |B'_{\#}(z)| |dz| \leq 2\pi n \quad (\text{by Lemma 5}) \quad (10)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |r'(z)| |dz| \leq \|r\| \int_{\mathbf{T}} |B'_{\#}(z)| |dz|, \quad (11)$$

where the last inequality can be easily obtained from either Theorem 6 or Theorem 1.

The equality holds in (9) if and only if the equalities hold in both (10) and (11). But (10) becomes an equality if and only if  $B_{\#}(z)$  (and therefore  $r(z)$  itself) is of exact degree  $n$ ; while (11) renders an equality if and only if, for all  $z \in \mathbf{T}$ ,  $|r(z)| = \|r\|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left\{ \left| \frac{1 - B_{-}(z) \overline{B_{-}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{1 - B_{+}(z) \overline{B_{+}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|^2 \right\} |d\zeta| \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \left[ \frac{1 - B_{-}(z) \overline{B_{-}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{1 - B_{+}(z) \overline{B_{+}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 \right| |d\zeta|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $r(z)$  is of the form  $\text{const.} \times B_n(z)$ , and for every  $z \in \mathbf{T}$  there exists a constant  $k(z) \in [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\left[ \frac{1 - B_{-}(z) \overline{B_{-}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2 = k(z) \left[ \frac{1 - B_{+}(z) \overline{B_{+}(\zeta)}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right]^2$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ . By comparing the poles, we conclude that the above equality is possible if and only if either  $B_{-}(z) \equiv 0$  or  $B_{+}(z) \equiv 0$ . Combining everything above yields the conclusions on the case for equality in (9). ■

## REFERENCES

- [BE] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, Sharp extensions of Bernstein's inequality to rational spaces, *Mathematika* **43** (1996), 413–423.
- [JLMR] R. Jones, X. Li, R. N. Mohapatra, and R. S. Rodriguez, On the Bernstein inequality for rational functions with a prescribed zero, 1995.
- [LMR] X. Li, R. N. Mohapatra, and R. S. Rodriguez, Bernstein-type inequalities for rational functions with prescribed poles, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **51** (1995), 523–531.
- [LT] R. J. LeVeque and L. N. Trefethen, On the resolvent condition in the Kreiss matrix theorem, *BIT* **24** (1984), 584–591.



- [PP] P. P. Petrushev and V. A. Popov, Rational approximation of real functions, in "Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications," Vol. 28, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [S] M. N. Spijker, On a conjecture by LeVeque and Trefethen related to the Kreiss matrix theorem, *BIT* **31** (1991), 551–555.
- [WT] E. Wegert and L. N. Trefethen, From the Buffon needle to the Kreiss matrix theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **101** (1994), 132–139.
- [Z] A. Zygmund, "Trigonometric Series," 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.